



Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Vol. 20, 1–5, 2020 www.aspbs.com/jnn

Characterization of Subgap Density-of-States by Sub-Bandgap Optical Charge Pumping in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistors

Han Bin Yoo¹, Seong Kwang Kim², Junyeap Kim¹, Jintae Yu¹, Sung-Jin Choi¹, Dae Hwan Kim¹, and Dong Myong Kim^{1,*}

¹ School of Electrical Engineering, Kookmin University, Seoul 02701, Korea ² School of Electrical Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 34141, Korea

We report an experimental characterization of the interface states ($D_{it}(E)$) by using the subthreshold drain current with optical charge pumping effect in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs). The interface states are derived from the difference between the dark and photo states of the current–voltage characteristics. We used a sub-bandgap photon (i.e., with the photon energy lower than the bandgap energy, $E_{\rm ph} < E_{\rm g}$) to optically excite trapped carriers over the bandgap in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs. We combined a gate bias-dependent capacitance model to determine the channel length-independent oxide capacitance. Then, we estimated the channel length-independent interface states in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs having different channel lengths ($L_{\rm ch} = 5$, 10, and 25 [μ m]) for a fixed overlap length ($L_{\rm ov} = 5$ [μ m]).

Keywords: MOSFET, Interface State, Optical Charge Pumping, Ideality Factor, Overlap Capacitance.

1. INTRODUCTION

With decreasing the size of the devices to improve their performance, characterization and analysis of the traps and interface states in the channel region becomes more important. Thus, various studies have been reported on the characterization of traps based on the electrical characteristics of the devices. Typically, there are characterization techniques based on the C-V characteristics of a fieldeffect-transistor [1-6] and the I-V characteristics [7-11]. However, in the C-V based method, the measurement is difficult because the capacitance decreases with the device size, On the contrary, the I-V characteristic-based technique is independent of the device size. Even though I-Vtechnique is more practical for small size devices, the influence of the mobile charge is not excluded when using only dark-state drain current in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As metal-oxidesemiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs) [7, 10].

Therefore, in this study, the interface states were extracted from the difference between the photo- and darkstates of I-V characteristics in $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ MOSFETs. The proposed method utilizes only the current change due to the optical charge pumping by the light to convert it into the interface state capacitance, allowing us to exclude the influence of the mobile charge effect. In addition, it uses a sub-bandgap photons, which has a photon energy $(E_{\rm ph})$ lower than the bandgap energy $(E_{\rm o})$. Thus, this method can only extract the interface states in the subgap over the bandgap from the valence band maximum $(E_{\rm v})$ to the conduction band minimum $(E_{\rm c})$. Furthermore, if we extract the oxide capacitance from the difference between the maximum value (C_{max}) and the minimum value (C_{\min}) of the measured gate capacitance, the channel length-dependent interface state can be obtained in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs. We applied a bias-dependent capacitance model to exclude the biasdependent overlap capacitance and finally extracted the channel length-independent oxide capacitance.

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2020, Vol. 20, No. xx

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

In this study, we use the equivalent capacitance model as shown in Figure 1(b). First, an ideality factor (m) was extracted from the measured subthreshold currents in $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ MOSFETs. We convert them into the interface state capacitance values. We also mapped the gate voltage to the surface potential for the energydependent characterization of the traps and interface states [10, 11]. The subthreshold current ($I_{D, sub}$) of $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ MOSFETs can be expressed as follows

$$I_{\rm D}(V_{\rm GS}) = I_{\rm D0} \exp\left(\frac{V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm T}}{mV_{\rm th}}\right) \tag{1}$$

$$I_{\rm D0} = \mu C_{\rm ox} \frac{W}{L} (m-1) V_{\rm th}^2$$
 (2)

$$m = 1 + \frac{C_{\rm S}(V_{\rm GS})}{C_{\rm ox}} \tag{3}$$

with μ as the mobility, $C_{\rm ox}$ as the oxide capacitance per unit area, $V_{\rm T}$ as the threshold voltage, $V_{\rm th}$ as the thermal voltage, W/L as the gate width/length, and *m* as the ideality factor.

The subthreshold I-V characteristics of $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ MOSFETs in the photo and dark states can be expressed by using Eq. (1) as

$$I_{\rm D, \, dark}(V_{\rm GS}) = I_{\rm D0, \, dark} \exp\left(\frac{V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm To}}{m_{\rm dark} V_{\rm th}}\right)$$
(4)

$$I_{\rm D, \, photo}(V_{\rm GS}) = I_{\rm D0, \, photo} \exp\left(\frac{V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm T}}{m_{\rm photo} V_{\rm th}}\right)$$
(5)

Therefore, the ideality factors (m) in the corresponding states $(m_{\text{dark}}, m_{\text{photo}})$ and the difference are modeled as

$$m_{\rm dark} = \left\{ V_{\rm th} \frac{\partial \ln(I_{\rm D,\,dark})}{\partial V_{\rm CS}} \right\}^{-1} = 1 + \frac{C_{\rm LOC} + C_{\rm mob}}{C_{\rm or}} \qquad (6)$$

$$m_{\rm photo} = \left\{ V_{\rm th} \frac{\partial \ln(I_{\rm D,\,photo})}{\partial V_{\rm GS}} \right\}^{-1} = 1 + \frac{C_{\rm LOC} + C_{\rm mob} + C_{\rm photo}}{C_{\rm ox}}$$
(7)

$$\Delta m = m_{\rm photo} - m_{\rm dark} = \frac{C_{\rm photo}}{C_{\rm ox}} \tag{8}$$



Figure 1. (a) Schematic of an $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}$ As metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor. (b) Equivalent capacitance circuit model for the interface state analysis.

with C_{LOC} as the localized capacitance for the interface states controlled by the gate bias, C_{mob} as the mobile carrier capacitance, C_{photo} as the interface state capacitance excited by the optical charge pumping effect. Thus, the difference (Δm) of the ideality factors can be employed to extract the interface states responded by the optical charge pumping effect under sub-bandgap photonic illumination as followings

$$\frac{d\Delta m}{dV_{\rm CS}} = \frac{1}{C_{\rm cr}} \frac{\partial C_{\rm photo}}{\partial V_{\rm CS}} = \frac{1}{C_{\rm cr}} \frac{\partial C_{\rm photo}}{\partial \psi_{\rm S}} \frac{\partial \psi_{\rm S}}{\partial V_{\rm CS}} \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{\text{photo}}}{\partial \psi_{\text{S}}} = C_{\text{ox}} \frac{d\Delta m}{dV_{\text{GS}}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_{\text{S}}}{\partial V_{\text{GS}}}\right)^{-1}$$
(10)

with $\psi_{\rm S}$ as the surface potential. As a result, the gate biasdependent capacitance caused by the charges excited from the interface states can be modeled as

$$C_{\rm photo} = \int_0^{2\phi_{\rm f}} \left\{ C_{\rm ox} \frac{d\Delta m}{dV_{\rm GS}} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_{\rm S}}{\partial V_{\rm GS}} \right)^{-1} \right\} d\psi_{\rm S} \qquad (11)$$

We note that, in Eq. (11), accurate characterization of the oxide capacitance (C_{ox}) from experimental C-V data is important for determining the interface states from experimentally obtained C_{photo} .

In conventional techniques, it is extracted from the difference between C_{max} and C_{min} assuming a negligible overlap length compared with a long channel length. However, this method has considerable error as the channel region length becomes shorter. Therefore, it is necessary to extract C_{ox} through the experimental C-V data combined with the bias-dependent gate capacitance model. For deembedding of the overlap capacitances in the source and drain, we modeled the gate bias-dependence of the overlap capacitance (C_{oy}) as follows

$$C_{\rm ov}^{-1}(V_{\rm GS}) = C_{\rm ov,\,ox}^{-1} + C_{\rm ov,\,S}^{-1}(V_{\rm GS})$$
(12)

$$C_{\rm ov,\,ox} = C_{\rm ox} W L_{\rm ov} \tag{13}$$

$$C_{\text{ov},S}(V_{\text{GS}}) = \left(\frac{d\psi_{\text{S}}}{dV_{\text{GS}}}\right) \frac{dQ_{\text{S,ov}}(\psi_{\text{S}})}{d\psi_{\text{S}}}$$
$$= C_{\text{ov},\text{SD}}(V_{\text{GS}}) + C_{\text{ov},\text{Sm}}(V_{\text{GS}})$$
(14)

 $C_{\text{ov, ox}}$ as the oxide capacitance for the gate insulator is connected is a series with $C_{\text{ov, S}}$ as the bias-dependent substrate capacitance in the overlapped region. We note that $Q_{\text{S, ov}}$ is defined as the substrate charge, $C_{\text{ov, SD}}$ as the depletion capacitance by the depleted charge, and $C_{\text{ov, Sm}}$ as the diffusion capacitance by the mobile charges in the overlapped region. With the capacitance model considering the gate bias-dependent overlap capacitance, the channel length-independent oxide capacitance is obtained for accurate extraction of the interface states through experimental C-V data.

Since the oxide capacitance of the overlap region as well as that of the channel region is fully appeared in the

J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 20, 1-5, 2020

maximum value of the measured gate capacitance under fully conductive channel with gate bias much higher than the threshold voltage, it is extracted through

$$C_{\rm g, max} = C_{\rm g}(V_{\rm GS, on} \gg V_{\rm T}) = C_{\rm S}(V_{\rm GS, on}) + C_{\rm ov}(V_{\rm GS, on})$$
$$\approx C_{\rm ox}W(L_{\rm ch} + L_{\rm ov})$$
(15)

$$C_{\rm ox} = \frac{1}{1 + (L_{\rm ov}/L_{\rm ch})} \frac{C_{\rm g, \, max}}{WL_{\rm ch}}$$
(16)

with $C_{\rm g,\,max}$ as the measured maximum gate capacitance, $V_{\rm GS,\,on}$ as the gate bias much higher than the threshold voltage, and $L_{\rm ch}$ as the channel region length. Finally, we extract the interface state distribution $(D_{\rm it}(V_{\rm GS}))$ from the interface state capacitance $(\Delta C_{\rm photo}(V_{\rm GS}))$ under subbandgap photonic excitation as follows

$$\Delta C_{\text{photo}} = C_{\text{photo}} (V_{\text{GS}} + \Delta V_{\text{GS}}) - C_{\text{photo}} (V_{\text{GS}})$$
(17)

$$D_{\rm it}(V_{\rm GS}) = \frac{C_{\rm photo}(V_{\rm GS} + \Delta V_{\rm GS}) - C_{\rm photo}(V_{\rm GS})}{qWL}$$
(18)

with q as the electron charge.

1

Mapping of the gate voltage to the surface potential [10, 11] for the energy-dependent trap distribution is performed through

$$\psi_{\rm S} = \frac{V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm FB}}{m} \tag{19}$$

$$V_{\rm D} = I_{\rm D0} \exp\left(\frac{\psi_{\rm S}}{V_{\rm th}} + \frac{V_{\rm FB} - V_T}{mV_{\rm th}}\right) \tag{20}$$

$$\psi_{\rm S} = \int_{V_{\rm GS1}}^{V_{\rm GS2}} \left\{ V_{\rm th} \frac{\partial \ln(I_{\rm D}/I_{\rm D0})}{\partial V_{\rm GS}} - (V_{\rm FB} - V_{\rm T}) \frac{\partial m^{-1}}{\partial V_{\rm GS}} \right\} dV_{\rm GS}$$
(21)

with $V_{\rm FB}$ as the flat band voltage.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the difference of ideality factors between dark and photo states, we characterized the distribution of the interface state over the bandgap in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs as shown in Figure 1(a). Figure 2 shows the *I*–*V* characteristics of the device with different *W/L* ratios. A drain voltage ($V_{\rm DS}$) of 0.05 [*V*] was applied in the subthreshold transfer *I*–*V* characteristics. During experimental sub-bandgap photonic characterization, an optical source with $\lambda = 1550$ [nm] and optical power $P_{\rm opt} = 0.9$ [mW] was employed. The experimental data show a larger photo-state current compared with the current under dark state. When using the sub-bandgap photons, the interface states in the bandgap between $E_{\rm C}$ and $E_{\rm V}$ contribute to the photonic current increase. By using the difference between the measured dark- and photo-state currents in the subthreshold region ($V_{\rm GS} < V_{\rm T}$) as shown in Figure 3, the interface state distribution from the ideality factors can be obtained in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs.

We note that the difference between dark- and photostate currents contains the interface state information by the sub-bandgap optical charge pumping (Eq. (8)). This provides us with C_{photo} value (Eq. (11)). For the de-embedding of the overlap capacitance resulting in accurate characterization of the trap distribution, we measured C-V characteristics as shown in Figure 4(a) and applied the experimental data to Eq. (16) for the biasdependent gate capacitance model (Fig. 4(b)). In the deembedding process of the overlap capacitance, the oxide capacitance per unit area (C_{ox}) is obtained from the difference between the minimum capacitance (C_{\min}) under low gate bias and the maximum capacitance (C_{max}) under large gate bias higher than the threshold voltage, as shown in Figure 4(c). In the characterization, we considered the gate bias-dependence of the overlap capacitance (C_{ov}) for improved accuracy of the extracted trap distribution compared with conventional techniques.

As a result, we extracted accurate interface state capacitance from the ideality factors and channel lengthindependent gate capacitance (Eq. (11)) as shown in Figure 5(a). Then, we obtained ΔC_{photo} by using Eqs. (17) and (21) for the interface states over the subbandgap photo-responsive energy range mapped through



Figure 2. Log-scale I-V characteristics of $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ MOSFETs at their photo- and dark-state characteristics and with different gate width/length ratio. (a) $W/L = 20/5 \ [\mu m/\mu m]$ device. (b) $W/L = 20/10 \ [\mu m/\mu m]$ device. (c) $W/L = 20/25 \ [\mu m/\mu m]$ device.

J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 20, 1-5, 2020



Figure 3. Ideality factors for the photo and dark states, and their differences, of $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ MOSFETs with different gate width/length ratios. (a) $W/L = 20/5 \ [\mu m/\mu m]$ device. (b) $W/L = 20/10 \ [\mu m/\mu m]$ device. (c) $W/L = 20/25 \ [\mu m/\mu m]$ device.



Figure 4. (a) Capacitance–voltage (C-V) characteristics of $In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As$ MOSFETs. (b) Experimental capacitance data to obtain the channel lengthindependent oxide capacitance. (c) Experimental capacitance data by the difference between the maximum and minimum gate capacitance.



Figure 5. (a) Interface state capacitance values obtained. (b) Interface states of In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs, derived from the ideality factor difference.

the surface potential controlled by the gate bias. Finally, the interface states were obtained by using Eq. (18) as shown in Figure 5(b). The extracted interface state concentration was $\sim 10^9$ [eV⁻¹cm⁻²] and independent of the channel length. We note that this result is smaller than the previously reported one [7]. By combining the subbandgap photons, de-embedding of the overlap capacitance, and difference of the ideality factors, duplicated

count was removed and accuracy was improved. Moreover, the error factor was also removed by obtaining the channel length-independent oxide capacitance from the experimental C-V data.

4. CONCLUSION

With the scaling down of FETs, interface state extraction has becomes very important for the consistent

J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 20, 1-5, 2020

Yoo et al. Characterization of Subgap Density-of-States by Sub-Bandgap Optical Charge Pumping in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs

modeling and characterization of their electrical characteristics. In this work, we reported an interface state characterization method based on the photo- and darkstate I-V characteristics. We could determine the interface state near the conduction band minimum (E_c) with channel length-independent oxide capacitance considering the bias-dependent gate capacitance while excluding the overlap capacitance with different channel lengths in InGaAs MOSFETs. This method is expected to be useful for accurate and consistent characterization of the interface states in short channel length In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs. We experimentally confirmed them through a consistent extraction of the gate length-independent oxide capacitance and the interface states in In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As MOSFETs on the same wafer. The gate bias-dependent overlap capacitance model and the corrected interface state extraction technique could be helpful in scaled MOSFETs.

Acknowledgments: Manuscript received June 19, 2019. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIP) (Nos. 2017R1A2B4007820 and 2016R1A5A1012966).

References and Notes

- Yashiro, T., 1971. Determination of trap levels in Ge₃N₄ and barrier energies at the Ge₃N₄-Ge interface by *C*-*V* characteristics. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 10(18), pp.1697–1697.
- Chang, Y.-G., Lee, H.S., Choi, K. and Im, S., 2012. Capacitance– voltage measurement with photon probe to quantify the trap density of states in amorphous thin-film transistors. *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, 33(7), pp.1015–1017.
- 3. Yang, S., Liu, S., Lu, Y., Liu, C. and Chen, K.J., 2015. ACcapacitance technique for interface trap analysis in GaN-based

buried-channel MIS-HEMTs. *IEEE Transaction on Electron Devices*, 62(6), pp.1870–1878.

- Deen, D.A. and Champlain, J.G., 2011. High frequency capacitancevoltage technique for the extraction of interface trap density of the heterojunction capacitor: Terman's method revised. *Applied Physics Letters*, 99(053501), pp.1–3.
- Hussain, I., Soomro, M.Y., Bano, N., Nur, O. and Willander, M., 2012. Interface trap characterization and electrical properties of Au– ZnO nanorod Schottky diodes by conductance and capacitance methods. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 112(064506), pp.1–6.
- Bae, H., Choi, H., Jun, S., Jo, C., Kim, Y.H., Hwang, J.S., Ann, J., Oh, S., Bae, J.-U., Choi, S.-J., Kim, D.H. and Kim, D.M., 2013. Single scan monochromatic photonic capacitance–voltage technique for extraction of subgap DOS over the bandgap in amorphous semiconductor TFTs. *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, 34(12), pp.1524–1526.
- Kim, S.K., Lee, J., Geum, D.-M., Park, M.-S., Choi, W.J., Choi, S.-J., Kim, D.H., Kim, S. and Kim, D.M., 2016. Fully subthreshold current-based characterization of interface traps and surface potential in III–V-on-insulator MOSFETs. *Solid-State Electronics*, 122, pp.8–12.
- Ju, S., Kim, S., Mohammadi, S., Janes, D.B., Ha, Y.-G., Facchetti, A. and Marks, T.J., 2008. Interface studies of ZnO nanowire transistors using low-frequency noise and temperature-dependent I–V measurements. *Applied Physics Letters*, 92(022104), pp.1–3.
- 9. Bae, H., Noh, J., Alghamdi, S., Si, M. and Ye, P.D., 2018. Ultraviolet light-based current–voltage method for simultaneous extraction donor- and acceptor-like interface traps in β-Ga₂O₃ FETs. *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, 39(11), pp.1708–1711.
- 10. Lee, J., Jun, S., Jang, J., Bae, H., Kim, H., Chung, J.W., Choi, S.-J., Kim, D.H., Lee, J. and Kim, D.M., 2013. Fully transfer characteristics-based technique for surface potential and subgap density of states in *p*-channel polymer-based TFTs. *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, 34(12), pp.1521–1523.
- Bae, H., Seo, H., Jun, S., Choi, H., Ann, J., Hwang, J., Lee, J., Oh, S., Bae, J.-U., Choi, S.-J., Kim, D.H. and Kim, D.M., 2014. Fully current-based sub-bandgap optoelectronic differential ideality factor technique and extraction of subgap DOS in amorphous semiconductor TFTs. *IEEE Transaction on Electron Devices*, 61(10), pp.3566–3569.

Received: 26 June 2019. Accepted: 4 August 2019.